UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,881	11/04/2003	Darwin Hu	MR1957-795	3502
	7590 08/15/200 LEY PATENT AGEN	EXAMINER		
7394 WILDFLOWER WAY			STOREY, WILLIAM C	
CUPERTINO, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/699,881	HU, DARWIN				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	WILLIAM C. STOREY	2625				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) WILLIAM C. STOREY.	(3)					
(2) <u>Joe Zheng</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>06 August 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>36</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hasegawa</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant called requesting further explanation of 124 of the final office action regarding claim 36. The examiner responded by explaining that placement in a face-up and face-down manner may be read upon by the modules placed to scan the face-up and face-down sides of a page. It appeared to the examiner that a proper alteration of language would overcome Figure 2 of Hasegawa et al  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•	/William C Storey/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625 Examiner's signature if requi	red				